Fluoride-Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactors for Power and Process Heat

Integrated Research Project of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, University of California at Berkeley, and the University of Wisconsin

Charles Forsberg

Department of Nuclear Science and Engineering; Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Ave; Bld. 42-207a; Cambridge, MA 02139 Tel: (617) 324-4010; Email: <u>cforsber@mit.edu</u>; <u>http://web.mit.edu/nse/people/research/forsberg.html</u>

> American Nuclear Society: MIT February 29, 2012









Outline

- Goals
- Reactor Description
- University Integrated Research Project
- Coupled High-Temperature Salt Activities
- Conclusions



Goals

Fluoride Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor (FHR) Project



 Project is to develop a path forward to a commercially viable FHR

Goals

- Superior economics (30% less expensive than LWR)
- Limit severe accident
- Higher thermal efficiency to enable dry cooling (no cooling water) and process heat (700°C)
- Better non-proliferation and waste characteristics

Fluoride-Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor (FHR) Partnership



Sponsor: U.S.
 Department of Energy

- 3-year project
- Project team
 - MIT (lead)
 - U. of California
 - U. of Wisconsin
 - Westinghouse advisory role



Fluoride-Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor

Initial Base-Line Design for University Integrated Research Project

Combining Old Technologies in a New Way



Passively Safe Pool-



GE Power Systems MS7001FB

Brayton Power Cycles High-Temperature Coated-Particle Fuel High-Temp., Low-

Pressure Liquid-Salt Coolant

(Transparent)

Fluoride Salt-Cooled High-Temperature Reactor (FHR)



Base Case Salt is ⁷Li₂BeF₄ (Flibe)

Physical Properties of Coolants

Coolant	T _{melt} (°C)	T _{boil} (°C)	ρ (kg/m ³)	C _p (kJ/kg °C)	ρC _p (kJ/m ³ °C)
Li ₂ BeF ₄ (Flibe)	459	1430	1940	2.42	4670
59.5NaF-40.5ZrF ₄	500	1290	3140	1.17	3670
26LiF-37NaF-37ZrF ₄	436		2790	1.25	3500
31LiF-31NaF-38BeF ₂	315	1400	2000	2.04	4080
8NaF-92NaBF ₄	385	700	1750	1.51	2640
Water (7.5 MPa)	0	290	732	5.5	4040

Salt compositions are shown in mole percent. Salt properties at 700°C and 1 atm. Sodium-zirconium fluoride salt conductivity is estimated—not measured. The NaF-NaBF₄ system must be pressurized above 700°C; however, the salt components do not decompose. Pressurized water data are shown at 290°C for comparison.

FHR Uses Graphite-Matrix Coated-Particle Fuel



Graphite-Matrix Coated-Particle Fuel Can Take Many Forms





Prismatic Fuel Block Flat Fuel Plates in Hex Configuration

Pebble bed

- Lower cost
- Easier refueling

FHR smaller pebbles (3 cm) and higher power density

Base Case	
Pebble Bed	

Salt Density Greater Than Fuel Density



Salt and Fuel Combination Reduces Hot Spots

in Reactor Core (Nominal Exit ~700°C)

	Helium (Gas)	Salt (Liquid) BP: 1430°C
Pebble	Sinks in Helium	Floats In Salt
Fluid Flow	Down	Up: Pebbles Held in Place
Heat Transfer* with Temp. Increase	Viscosity Up; Flow Rate Down: Heat Transfer Down	Viscosity Down: Flow Rate Up; Heat Transfer Up
Margins		>500°C

*Transparent High-Heat Capacity Salt With Radiation Heat Transfer ~ T⁴

FHR Core Design: For Every Graphite Gas-Cooled Reactor, a Parallel FHR Design

Neutronics

- Similar to graphite-moderated gas-cooled reactors
- Salt relatively transparent to neutrons

Thermal hydraulics: Liquid salts versus gases

- Liquid salt coolants are much better heat transport agents than gas coolants (Helium or Carbon dioxide)
- FHR power densities a factor of 2 to 6 higher
- FHRs have smaller reactor cores
- FHRs operate at low pressures
- FHRs use convection, not conduction, for passive decay heat removal

Compact FHR Layout Baseline: 900 MWt

- Annular pebble bed
- Pebbles float in liquid salt
- Pebbles circulate through the core once per month, one year lifetime
- Radiation detector determines when full burnup reached



FHR Core Design: 5 Shutdown Options

16

- Doppler reactor shutdown on high temperature
- Conventional control rod system
- Salt temperature-driven buoyancy control rods
 - Salt denser than graphite so can build near neutral buoyancy control rods
 - If salt heats up, salt density decreases, rods drop
 - If neutral bouyancy at operating temperature, hold up by fluid flow and drop on loss of flow

Salt temperature-driven fusible link shutdown

- Soluble neutron absorbers in canister (boron or rare earths) with nickel-gold fusible link (like fire sprinkler systems)
- Overheat and release to hot salt
- Buffer tank absorber (Discussed later)



FHR Safety Case Based On Several Technologies

- FHR is a liquid-cooled lowpressure reactor
 - General layout similar to sodium fast reactors
 - Many safety systems from sodium fast reactors
- FHR is a high-temperature reactor
 - Modified gas-cooled reactor fuel—higher power density
 - Very high temperature fuel
- Unique feature: salt coolant
 - High melting point: 459°C
 - High boiling point: 1430°C



General Electric S-PRISM

High-Temperature Fuel and Coolant Alters Safety Limits

- Safety limit LWR: fuel clad failure from high temp.
- Safety limit SFR: void coefficient from boiling coolant
- Safety limit HTGR: hightemperature fuel failure
- FHR limits not well defined
 - Metal component failure
 - Bulk temperature limit



Accident Driver: Outside the Core?

Iron Melts at 1535°C, Alloys Softens at Lower Temperatures

- Fuel failure ~1650°C
 Nominal peak~800°C
 Coolant boiling ~1430°C
 Nominal peak ~700°C
- Vessel: <1200°C</p>
- Severe accident starts outside of the core
- Different than any other reactor and not well understood





Decay Heat Dumped to Secondary Tank If Loose Primary Heat Sink (Power Cycle)

- Primary salt route
 - Reactor core
 - Primary heat exchanger to power cycle
 - Piping to reactor core
- Loss of heat sink to power cycle
 - Hot salt through HX
 - Hot salt to core through uninsulated piping
 - Dump heat to secondary salt at temperature of cold side of primary loop



Decay Heat Dumped to Secondary Tank on Pump Trip

- Two routes for primary salt
 - Through reactor core
 - Through parallel PRACS heat exchanger that dumps heat to secondary salt

PRACS loop

- Heat Exchanger and fluidic diode
- High flow resistance when pump operates
- If pump stops, salt flows through core and down PRACS loop



Fluidic Diodes Developed for German Fast Reactor and British Reprocessing Plants

- No moving parts diodes exhibit anisotropic flow resistance
- Nuclear experience available
- Vortex diode chosen as target design (Large version used in MHI APWR and Korean AP-1400 Accumulators)





Conventional Vortex Diode

German fluidic diode for sodium (Fluid Rectifier Diode)



Rothfuss and F. Vogt, "Reactor Vessel Technology," Nuclear *Technology*, Vol. 78, pg. 245, 1987.

Potential for Large Reactor That Can Not Have a Catastrophic Accident Decay Heat Conduction and Radiation to Ground



Salt Coolants Imply High-Temperature High-Efficiency Power Cycles



FHR for Electricity

- Deliver heat from 600 to 700°C
 - Lower temperature above salt melting point
 - Upper temperature within existing materials
- Power cycle options
 - Commercial supercritical water cycle with peak temperature of 650°C
 - Supercritical carbon dioxide cycle with good temperature match between delivered heat and power cycle
 - Air Brayton cycle with good temperature match between delivered heat and power cycle

Many Options for Power Cycles

Generator

Base Case Air Brayton Cycle

Supercritical CO₂

- Air Brayton cycle based on natural gas turbine
- Dry cooling
- Low capital costs



Exit Temperatures Meet Most Process Heat Requirements

- Initial version: 700°C
 Use existing materials
 Refinery peak temperatures ~600°C (thermal crackers)
- Meet heavy oil, oil shale, oil sands and biorefinery process heat requirements
- Tritium control is an important design issue



FHR Concepts Span Wide Power Range



3400 MWt / 1500 MWe





Preliminary Economics: FHR Lower Cost than Light-Water and Gas-Cooled High-Temperature Reactors

- Lower energy costs than Advanced Light Water Reactors (LWRs)
 - Primary loop components more compact than ALWRs (per MWth)
 - No stored energy source requiring a large-dry or pressure-suppression-type containment
 - Higher plant efficiency (40 to 50%)
- Much lower construction cost than high-temperature gas-cooled reactors
 - All components much smaller
 - Operate at low pressure



Status of FHR

- No FHR has ever been built: new concept
- On paper a great idea but untested concept
- Combines four technologies with changes
 - Gas-cooled reactor coated-particle fuel—but at higher power densities and with salt coolant
 - Clean molten (liquid) salt reactor coolant—but previous experience in MSR with fuel dissolved in coolant: both with high melting point coolant
 - Fast reactor safety systems—but with a high meltingpoint coolant
 - Power cycles—but at 700 C

University Integrated Research Project

32

Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Lead) University of California at Berkeley University of Wisconsin at Madison

> Cooperation and Partnership With United States Department of Energy Westinghouse Electric Company Oak Ridge National Laboratory Idaho National Laboratory



Three Part University FHR Integrated Research Program

- Status of FHR and develop near-term path forward
- Technology Development
 - Materials development
 - In-reactor testing of materials and fuel
 - Thermal-hydraulics, safety, and licensing
- Integration of Knowledge
 - Pre-conceptual design of test reactor
 - Pre-conceptual design of commercial reactor
 - Roadmap to test reactor and pre-commercial reactor

Workshops to Define Current Status and Path Forward Strategy to Drive Program, Technical, and Design Choices

- FHR subsystems definition, functional requirement definition, and licensing basis event identification (UCB)
- FHR transient phenomena identification and ranking (UCB)
- FHR materials identification and component reliability phenomena identification and ranking (UW)
- FHR development roadmap and test reactor performance requirements (MIT)



The University of Wisconsin Will Conduct Corrosion Tests

- Evaluate salts and materials of construction
- Strategies to monitor and control salt chemistry
- Support reactor irradiations



MIT To Test Key Materials In MIT Research Reactor

- 6-MWt Reactor
- Operates 24 hr / day, 7 days per week
- Uses water as coolant
- In core tests
 - LWR Neutron Flux
 Spectrum
 - Tests in 700°C flibe liquid salt in core
 - In-core materials, coated particle fuel



UCB to Conduct Thermal Hydraulics, Safety, and Licensing Tests

- Experimental test program using organic simulants
- Analytical models to predict thermohydraulic behavior
- Support simulation of reactor irradiation experiments



MIT To Develop Pre-Conceptual Test Reactor Design

- Identify and quantify test reactor functional requirements
- Examine alternative design options
- Develop preconceptual design



UCB to Develop Commercial Reactor Pre-Conceptual Design

- Identify and quantify power-reactor functional requirements
- Integrated conceptual design to flush out technical issues that may not have been identified in earlier work



MIT Leads Development of Roadmap to Test Reactor and Pre-Commercial Power Reactor

- Roadmap to power / process heat reactor
- Identify and scope what is required and schedule
- Includes licensing strategy
- Partnership with Westinghouse Electric Company

An IRP Advisory Panel Has Been Formed

- Regis Matzie (Panel Chair) (retired senior vice president and chief technology officer of Westinghouse)
- Douglas Chapin (previous principal at MPR and current senior consultant)
- John McGaha (retired senior executive of Entergy Nuclear)
- Dan Mears (president and CEO of Technology Insights)
- James Rushton (retired head of Nuclear Science and Technology division at ORNL)

The Advisory Panel has been constituted to advise the IRP on how best to successfully complete their DOE contract in a manner that provides a viable path forward in pursuit of a commercially successful FHR.

Coupled High-Temperature Salt Technologies

Multiple Salt-Cooled High-Temperature (700 C) Power Systems Being Developed With Common Technical Challenges—Incentives for Partnerships in Development

Separate from the Synergisms with Gas-Cooled High-Temperature Reactors and Sodium Fast Reactors

MSRE (1965-69) Is the Reactor-Base Experience with Salt Coolants Fuel Dissolved in Salt





China, France, Russia, Czech Republic, United States

Work on MSR: Implications for FHR

France

- Fast spectrum MSR
- Significant negative void coefficient (unique FR)
- R&D program, not demo

Czech Republic

- Criticality tests for MSR and FHR
- Chemistry

China

- Traditional MSR with FHR as backup
- Chinese Academy of Science
- To 700 people in 3 years



ORNL Starting Pebble-Bed Liquid-Salt Heat-Transfer Loop

Loop specifications

Salt	FLiNaK
Operating Temperature	700°C
Flow rate	4.5 kg/s
Operating pressure	atmospheric
Material of construction	Inconel 600
Loop volume	72 liters

• FHR pebble bed heat transfer

	PB-FHR	Experiment
Coolant	FLiBe	FLiNaK
Bed Dia. (cm)	20	15
Bed height (m)	3.2	0.75
Pebble dia.(cm)	3	3
Pebble Re	3080	2570





Finned tube air cooler - 200 kw

Overhung shaft Centrifugal sump pump



SiC test section - 600 graphite spheres 1.25 kw/sphere (max)



Inductive heating of test section - 200 kw

Liquid Salt Wall Fusion Machines

Higher-Power Densities and Less Radiation Damage





48

Heavy-Ion Inertial Fusion

Magnet Fusion Tokamak

Conclusions

- FHR combines existing technologies into a new reactor option
- Initial assessments indicate improved economics, safety, waste management, and nonproliferation characteristics
- Significant uncertainties—joint MIT/UCB/UW integrated research project starting to address challenges
- Interested in partnerships

Questions









Biography: Charles Forsberg

Dr. Charles Forsberg is the Executive Director of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Nuclear Fuel Cycle Study, Director and principle investigator of the High-Temperature Salt-Cooled Reactor Project, and University Lead for Idaho National Laboratory Institute for Nuclear Energy and Science (INEST) Nuclear Hybrid Energy Systems program. Before joining MIT, he was a Corporate Fellow at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. He is a Fellow of the American Nuclear Society, a Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and recipient of the 2005 Robert E. Wilson Award from the American Institute of Chemical Engineers for outstanding chemical engineering contributions to nuclear energy, including his work in hydrogen production and nuclear-renewable energy futures. He received the American Nuclear Society special award for innovative nuclear reactor design on salt-cooled reactors. Dr. Forsberg earned his bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from the University of Minnesota and his doctorate in Nuclear Engineering from MIT. He has been awarded 11 patents and has published over 200 papers.



http://web.mit.edu/nse/people/research/forsberg.html

FHR fuel development can use existing NGNP fuel fabrication / qualification infrastructure

- FHR fuel operates at high power density and heavy metal loading, but lower temperature, than NGNP AGR fuel
- Rapid fuel testing is possible due to short time required for FHR fuel to reach full discharge burn up



The United States Has a Competitive Advantage with FHR

- Developed and currently leads in FHR R&D
- Experience with MSR and has a working inventory of lithium-7 flibe salt
- Leads in coated-particle fuel technology because of NGNP high-temperature reactor program
- Leads in gas turbines—power side of FHR

FHR Conceptual Designs

Conceptual Design	Design	Size (MWe)
ORNL	Coated Particle Fuel Plate Supercritical Steam SFR Refueling System	1500
MIT/UCB/UW	Coated-Particle Pebble Bed Air Brayton Power Cycle Modified He Pebble Bed Refueling	410
ORNL	Coated-Particle Fuel Plate Modular	50
AREVA (Earlier)	SiC-clad UO ₂ pin fuel assembly Actinide burn capability (Unique)	1500

FHRs Combine Desirable Attributes From Other Power Plants



Lower Cost Power at Arbitrary Scale is the Primary FHR Value Argument

Low pressure containment High thermal efficiency (>12% increase over LWR) Low pressure piping

Low Power Cost 56

Passive Safety Robust Fuel Low Pressure Multiple Radioactivity Barriers

Site EPZ

Low water requirements No grid connection requirement for process heat

Easily Siteable

FHR History

New concept about a decade old

- Charles Forsberg (ORNL, now MIT)
- Per Peterson (Berkeley)
- Paul Pickard (Sandia Retired)
- Growing interest
 - Department of Energy
 - Oak Ridge National Laboratory and Idaho National Laboratory
 - Westinghouse, Areva

Additional development will be required for FHR fuel



Salt Requirements



Requirements

- Low neutron cross section
- Chemical compatibility

59

Lower melting point

Salt

- Fluoride salt mixture
- ⁷Li Salt: 99.995%
 - Can burn out ⁶Li if higher concentration
 - Tradeoff between uranium and Li enrichment costs
- Flibe baseline salt

High-Temperature Reactor CoolantsHeliumSodiumLiquid Salts







60

High pressure Transparent BP: N.A. Inert Atmospheric Opaque BP: 883°C Highly-Reactive Atmospheric Transparent BP:>1200°C Slightly Reactive



Buoyantly-Driven Shutdown Rod

- Shutdown rods are designed to be neutrally buoyant at a flibe salt density corresponding to a temperature of 615C ± 5C
- Purge flow is metered into the bottom of each shutdown channel by a fluidic diode
- Cylinder geometry maximizes rod drop velocity, providing the minimum surface area to volume ratio
- Hydrodynamic arresting channel used
 as snubber

Current Modular FHR plant design is compact compared to LWRs and MHRs

62

Reactor	Reactor	Reactor &	Total
Туре	Power	Auxiliaries	Building
	(MWe)	Volume	Volume
		(m ³ /MWe)	(m^3/MWe)
1970's PWR	1000	129	336
ABWR	1380	211	486
ESBWR	1550	132	343
EPR	1600	228	422
GT-MHR	286	388	412
PBMR	170	1015	1285
Modular FHR	410	98	242

Potentially Competitive Economics

Choice of Fuel and Coolant Enables Enhanced Safety



- Coated-particle fuel
 - Failure temperature > 1600°C
 - Large Doppler shutdown margin
- Liquid salt coolant
 - 700°C normal peak temp.
 - Boiling point >1400°C
 - >500°C margin to boiling
 - Low-pressure that limits accident potential
 - Low corrosion (clean salt)